By DANA KRAVETZ

Mandatory COVID-19 Vaccine Policies and
OSHA Mandates Regarding a Safe Workplace

A pandemic fear runs wide and with coronavirus case rates on the decline by virtue of more and more Americans getting COVID-19 vaccines, an increasing number of businesses are turning the lights back on and reiteratoring their workforces. This is great news, though many employers are steering toward “business as usual” without a roadmap for legally compliant reintegration. Some in management are also uncertain as to whether they can, or should, require employees to be inoculated against the novel coronavirus. These topics are addressed here, beginning with the latter.

CAN EMPLOYERS IMPOSE MANDATORY COVID-19 VACCINATION POLICIES?

The short answer is yes, employers can legally require their workers to be injected with the COVID-19 vaccine. That being said, whether a mandatory vaccine policy should be imposed is another question altogether.

As a matter of law, mandatory vaccinations are permissible, and that is not a new concept. For instance, plenty of workplaces, like hospitals, have mandated flu shots for decades.

Bottom line private employers have an inherent duty to protect their employees, so says the Occupational Safety and Health Administration. OSHA’s General Duty Clause compels every employer to provide workers a place of employment free from recognized hazards likely to cause death or serious physical harm.

Without question, the novel coronavirus qualifies as a dangerous — potentially even fatal — hazard, and therefore, its spread must be contained. Toward that end, mandatory vaccines, wearing PPE on the job, and continued testing appear to be the best, if not only, way forward.

OBSTACLES TO REQUIRING COVID-19 VACCINES

An employer’s legal imposition of a COVID-19 vaccine requirement is not without limits.

Employers can leverage the American With Disabilities Act to avoid immunization to the extent it prohibits discrimination against individuals with disabilities. No doubt, some workers are bound to claim that—although small — injury, even if mandatory. And if they do, the ADA calls for individualized assessments to determine if these unvaccinated employees would pose a direct threat to the workplace.

In the face of such a threat, employers must establish whether a reasonable accommodation could be provided to reduce the risk without causing undue hardship.

It is important to understand that if a direct threat cannot be mitigated by way of a reasonable accommodation, the unvaccinated employee can be barred from physically entering the workplace — the ADA notwithstanding. However, a disabled (and unwilling) worker cannot be automatically terminated.

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act provides another basis upon which employers can seek to avoid a COVID-19 vaccination requirement. According to the law, reasonable accommodations must be made for employees whose sincerely held religious beliefs, practices or observances prevent them from being vaccinated. If no reasonable accommodation — absent undue hardship — is possible, then (as above) it would be lawful for these unvaccinated workers to be kept from the workplace, but their refusal to get immunized would not be grounds for automatic termination.

To be sure, a mandatory vaccine policy, while legal, presents a difficult decision for any employer, and the imposition of a vaccine requirement could be asking for trouble. Litigation — premised upon the ADA, Title VII or otherwise — is likely to be filed by aggrieved workers who either do not want to be vaccinated or experience adverse reactions to their injections. Adding to that is the impact that a vaccine mandate could have upon employee relations given ever-growing political and cultural divisions among our populace. Taken together, it would be wise for employers to think long and hard before making COVID-19 vaccines a condition of employment.

OSHA AND WORKPLACE SAFETY

Employees will want to know and feel that their places of work are completely safe in the aftermath of COVID-19. As such, workplace safety should be everyone’s priority.

OSHA, employees and unions will surely characterize the aforementioned General Duty Clause as just that, a duty. Of course, the standard of what is appropriate to maintain a safe environment for employees will differ by industry, and the size of an employer and the nature of the work performed by its employees will be key factors. In any event, every employer should engage in a top-down review to determine how, and if, it can make its workplace safer as business returns to normal.

Toward that end, OSHA has made recommendations consistent with what is now common practice in workplaces nationwide. They call on employers to implement COVID-19 protocols that incorporate all of the following elements:

• Assignment of a coordinator to oversee COVID-19 policies and mitigation efforts.
• A system for communicating COVID-19 policies and procedures.
• Identification and correction of COVID-19 hazards.
• Implementation of measures — PPE usage, social distancing and frequent handwashing — to limit the spread of COVID-19.
• Assurance that infected workers are separated and sent home from the workplace.
• A policy instructing exposed workers to stay home and quarantine.
• Protections for higher risk associates by way of policies that allow them to work from home or from work locations located in less dense, better ventilated areas.
• Implementation of engineering and administrative controls related to COVID-19.
• The provision of paid leave or salary continuation.
• Enhanced cleaning and disinfection protocols.
• Guidance regarding screening and COVID-19 testing.
• Policies that protect workers from retaliation for voicing concerns about an employer’s lack of COVID-19 infection control.
• Provision of no cost COVID-19 vaccines.
• Assurance that even vaccinated employees will adhere to PPE and social distancing requirements until medical evidence suggests that such measures are no longer necessary.
• A system of COVID-19 reporting and recontrolling.
• Detailed return to work criteria.
• Management and employee training regarding COVID-19 policies and procedures (in appropriate languages assuming a multi-lingual workplace). Note that while execution of pandemic-related policies is critical, sufficient management training so that all COVID-19 protocols are understood and sufficiently implemented is equally important.
• Adherence to all other applicable and enforceable OSHA standards, including those pertaining to respiratory protection, sanitation and medical records.

By abiding by this guidance, employers will go a long way toward providing their workers with the safe workplace they seek and that which is required by law.
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The Nuts and Bolts of the PPP and Loan Forgiveness

By BRYAN JOHNSON

For many businesses, the Paycheck Protection Program has been a much-needed economic lifeline. For others, though, it has amounted to a source of frustration and uncertainty, especially when it comes to loan forgiveness. Bryan Johnson, who leads the standalone COVID-19 Practice Group at Michelman & Robinson, LLP, provides some background and explains how PPP loan forgiveness works.

Over a year ago, when the novel coronavirus reached pandemic proportions, the CARES Act was passed by the U.S. Congress and signed into law. The massive $2 trillion stimulus package was designed to counter the devastating economic consequences of the COVID-19 outbreak, and one of its most prominent elements was the Paycheck Protection Program.

The PPP was created in an attempt to help small businesses and other covered entities stay afloat, and to incentivize them to keep employees on their payrolls despite pandemic-related declines in business. This was accomplished by way of forgivable loans to cover an employer’s payroll expenses, now for up to 24 weeks (originally the covered period for so-called “first draw” loans was just eight weeks).

At its core then, the PPP is a grant program, assuming borrowers use loan proceeds for allowable purposes (read: wages, salaries, mortgage interest, rent, utilities, and the like). And those that qualify — for instance, businesses and 501(c)(3) corporations with 500 or fewer employees and individuals who operate as sole proprietors or independent contractors — can receive first draw loans that are two and a half times their average monthly payroll up to $10 million.

THE PPP, TAKE TWO

A second iteration of the PPP became law last December, adding $284 billion to the program. This allowed for additional first draw loans, as well as second draw loans for certain borrowers; namely, employers with less than 300 employees that have experienced a 25% decrease in gross receipts during any quarter of 2020 as compared to the same quarter in 2019. Pursuant to PPP 2.0, some additional entities also became eligible for loans; the list of forgiveness-worthy expenses was expanded; and amounts for second draw loans were adjusted to two and a half times a borrower’s average monthly payroll up to $2 million (three and a half times for restaurants and bars). Of note, the application deadline for all PPP loans was recently extended to May 31.

PPP LOAN FORGIVENESS: THE FUNDAMENTALS

Assuming a borrower has used PPP funds for allowable purposes, its loan is eligible for forgiveness. That being said, in order to maximize forgiveness, that borrower must have spent at least 60% of the PPP proceeds toward payroll and no more than 40% on non-payroll costs.

There is more. Borrowers must maintain employee headcount and wage levels to optimize loan forgiveness. Generally, a borrower can only expect partial forgiveness should headcount or wages not be returned to pre-pandemic levels by the end of the loan’s covered period. There are multiple PPP loan forgiveness application forms to choose from depending upon the circumstances of the borrower’s business and loan amount at issue, the simplest being Form 3508S, which can be used by those that received first or second draw loans of $150,000 or less. The good news about Form 3508S is that it does not require borrowers to show the calculations used to determine their loan forgiveness amount. Nonetheless, supporting documentation may be sought by the SBA during its loan review process.

Form 3508EZ is another mechanism for streamlined loan forgiveness, but it is only available if the borrower meets one or more of the following criteria:

• The borrower has not reduced the salaries or wages of employees making under $100,000 annually by more than 25% during the covered period; and (2) the borrower did not reduce the number of employees or average paid hours between January 1, 2020 and the end of the covered period.

OR

• The borrower has not reduced the salaries or wages of employees making under $100,000 annually by more than 25% during the covered period; and (2) the borrower was unable to operate during the covered period at the same level of business activity as before February 1, 2020 due to compliance with government regulations.

Borrowers that do not qualify to submit either Form 3508S or Form 3508EZ must complete the more cumbersome Form 3508. Those submitting Form 3508 will need to have at the ready evidence verifying eligible cash compensation and non-cash benefits paid, such as bank statements or payroll reports; payroll tax filings; documents evidencing employer contributions to employee health insurance and retirement plans; and verification of eligible non-payroll costs, including non-payroll obligations that existed prior to February 15, 2020.

No matter the form used, first and second round PPP borrowers that receive loan forgiveness may claim federal tax deductions for the covered expenses funded by their loan proceeds. Yet another positive of the PPP.

POST-FORGIVENESS ISSUES

Without question, loan forgiveness is more closely scrutinized than front-end PPP loan eligibility. But the scrutiny does not end there. Companies that secure PPP loans for $2 million or more should expect SBA auditors to come knocking. In fact, the SBA and U.S. Treasury Department have explicitly stated that all PPP loans in excess of $2 million will be reviewed following a lender’s submission of a borrower’s loan forgiveness application.

For those that have received south of $2 million in PPP proceeds, now is not the time to be breathing a sigh of relief. They too may be in line for audit as well given an Internal Final Rule released by Treasury stating, “[f]or a PPP loan of any size, SBA may undertake a review at any time in [its] discretion.”

Borrowers can prepare for audit by (1) identifying a point person through whom all PPP-related information will flow; (2) coordinating with professional service providers for full strategic alignment; (3) setting aside all documentation regarding PPP eligibility and certification, the use of loan proceeds, and qualification for forgiveness; and (4) developing a strategy for responding to or explaining away any possible negative evidence.

Bryan Johnson is a powerhouse litigator who has also forged a particular expertise handling matters arising out of COVID-19, including PPP compliance and loan forgiveness. He can be contacted at bjohnson@mrllp.com or by phone at (312) 706-7762.
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